A bit of wisdom: "Blessed are those who find wisdom, those who gain understanding She is more precious than rubies" (Prov. 3:13, 15)

6

Ruby and IBM i

I remember when I first tripped across Ruby. I was looking for an open source reportwriting tool and discovered DataVision (*datavision.sourceforge.net*). It was pretty cool for its time (I was using it in early 2003), but my stumbling block was the need to use Ruby to script some of the output. At the time, I thought I was a pretty hot programmer, but my background in RPG III, RPG/400, and BASIC left me ill-prepared for an objectoriented (OO) scripting language like Ruby. I set DataVision aside, and it seems to have faded into the open source diaspora, collecting dust in the vast wasteland that is SourceForge.

But like your Uncle Fred, Ruby has been around a lot longer than you think (some think maybe Fred has been around too long), and it has continued to grow and mature. Ruby got its start in 1993 in response to Yukihiro Matsumoto's need for a "better" scripting language. We're always looking for better, aren't we? And we *can* always do better! So more power to Matz (as he is called) for stepping out into the great unknown of programming language development. His goal for that "better" language was to keep it simple and easy (yeah, right, *every* language seeks that holy grail), so he threw Lisp, SmallTalk, Python, and a bit of Perl into the pot, and the result was Ruby. Lisp brings the simplicity, SmallTalk provides the object-oriented-ness, and Python and Perl sharpen the

focus of the language on utility. Matz described Ruby as "a scripting language that was more powerful than Perl, and more object-oriented than Python." He achieved his goal, and eventually the language made its way out of Japan and into the big, wide world of open source around the turn of the century.

The goal of this chapter on Ruby and IBM i is to first ground you in the Ruby language. That won't be easy because Ruby is probably about as different from RPG as an apple is from an orange. They are both fruits, but one must be peeled before eating and they taste very different. We are going to first "peel" Ruby so you can understand how it works. That means a grounding in OO principles. Then we can move on to basic language syntax, access to PASE resources, DB2 for IBM i database access, and then calling RPG programs from Ruby. That's quite a bit to cover. Ready? Let's go!

Every programmer wants to achieve the greatest amount of work in the least amount time and have fun doing it. At least, I hope that's what you're after. The programming world rotates on productivity, and that's what Ruby is designed for. It frees you to produce useful solutions that are easy to write and maintain. Give that some thought for a minute, and then let's take a common construct like a collection of "stuff." An array is basically a listing or grouping of separate but similar things. Very often, you want to access those items individually, and usually you want to do it sequentially. So iterating through these items is something you often do. It would seem logical that a container of these items would "know" what is in "itself" and be able to list those items. Being able to tell the container "list these items" would be a handy feature of the container. Something like this:

My tool bench has these items: hammer, saw, old underwear (yeah—rags), screwdriver, empty cans, vise, pliers. What if the tool bench itself could enumerate and access those items? Maybe something like an each function? So, for each item on the bench, what exactly would the function do? Whatever you told it to do! So we'd end up with a few functions that we often do for the tool bench "collection." Enumerate each item and maybe use a find function (useful for my tool bench). Functions built around that container would maybe look like bench.each and bench.find, where you'd have an operator on the object itself. Ruby ends up looking just like that in many cases because it operates on the *principle of least surprise* (or *astonishment*, in this case), which results in a tidy acronym of POLA. In a POLA world, what you expect to see is what you *do* see.

In the IBM i world, that is not always the way languages and/or commands work. Sometimes it is a POLA opposite. Anyone who made the transition from the S/38 to the AS/400 had to rethink some commands. In many cases, AS/400 commands operated on POLA principles, but not always. And then, once you were in RPG, things were not always so predictable. Take a look at a bit of fun RPG II code:

С	RCODE	COMP '0'	20
С	*IN20	IFEQ '1'	
С		EXFMTSCREEN2	
С	AGAIN	COMP 'Y'	22
С	22	GOTO BEGIN	
С	20N22	GOTO END	

It isn't immediately apparent just what this code does, and it's probably unfair to compare a procedural language to an OO one. I will say that free-format RPG is much more coherent to me, and is easier to transition to, than the RPG II above. Then again, RPG II *is* more readable than assembly language (which I was completely spared from ever having to learn). Bottom line: the principle behind Ruby is POLA, and it does a pretty good job. In fact, it does such a good job that initial forays into the language can be disorienting.

Moving to a predictable environment from an unpredictable one can be just as disorienting as the opposite approach. When I moved to Salt Lake City from Chicago, the grid-style layout of the streets in Salt Lake left me dumbfounded until I adapted to the Salt Lake way of navigating. After 20 years, you could plop me down anywhere in the Salt Lake valley and I could find my way home. Moving from that rigid structure to the rambling roads of San Antonio, I had to turn on my GPS to find my way to the next block. Plop me down a few miles from home in San Antonio, and I'd be lost without a GPS. But I have learned new rules and now regularly ignore my GPS and I am fine ("Oh, look, what is an ocean doing so close to San Antonio?"). Bottom line: you can learn this stuff. Ruby operates on POLA. POLA is good!

So, with that brief primer and the established principle of POLA, let's take a look at some Ruby basics just to get started.

Installation

You will most likely want to have some kind of command line into the world of Ruby, so we have a couple of ways we can go. If you are a Windows, Linux, or Mac person, you can find pretty much all you need at the *https://www.ruby-lang.org* site. Even if you plan to install PowerRuby on IBM i (which you will find here: *https://powerruby.com*), you'll probably still want to have something local so you don't have to lug that Power Systems box around with you. One of the cool features of open source on IBM i is that, except for RPG-based projects, you can write, compile, test, and deploy projects wherever you want initially. When you're ready, you can deploy to IBM i and test again in that environment. Basically, you can write code whenever and wherever you want. I find that very productive (and a little invasive in my life).

I won't go into details on how to install Ruby. There are plenty of tutorials on how to do that (and they are simple), so go ahead and install Ruby on both your development workstation and IBM i (if you have that freedom). The following examples will run anywhere.

IDEs and irb

We haven't talked about IDEs for Ruby. Frankly, an IDE for Ruby would be like an IDE for CL: I guess it would be cool for syntax checking, but it's overkill for basically a text editor. In fact, that's really all you need: a text editor. Notepad, Notepad++, WordPad, LaunchPad (I just made that up)—any kind of pad will do (like back in the 1960s). I use the Sublime Text editor for a couple of reasons: it's cheap, and I can run the code directly within the editor. There are others, so find one that fits like that comfy 10-year-old shirt you keep in the back of your closet and keep it easy. No reason to get hung up on text editor versus IDE wars.

You have another option for testing small snippets of code or just trying a few lines to get the hang of something: a REPL. Rather than some alter-ego clone, a REPL is a read-eval-print loop terminal, and it can really come in handy when you are just getting started. You usually get a REPL by just invoking the executable. In Ruby, you use the irb (Interactive RuBy) command. It will look like Figure 6.1 if you are a Windows user and have installed Ruby.



Figure 6.1: The Ruby irb command

There isn't any console support in the CMD window in Windows, so you'll see that message on the startup of irb.

Here's a really simple "Hello World" example (dang, I really didn't want to go there, but we always start with a "Hello World" example), as shown in Figure 6.2.



Figure 6.2: Hello World example

The command entry point here is after the line number 001:0 and the greater-than sign (>). And what's with the =>nil? Well, we asked Ruby to output the string "Hello World" (that is what puts does), and the puts command returns nothing, nada, zilch. Hence, to be different and a little British, it returns "nil." Nothing to worry about.

We could just keep slamming out commands in irb, but it can get a bit tedious if we are trying to write a complete script. You can end a line with a semicolon (;) to indicate that there is more to come, or if you are in a do block, you can code your little heart out until you end it. But a text editor will make things easier in the long run.

A REPL is cool because you can immediately see the results of your programming, but if you have several lines of code, it can get a little tedious, as you can see in Figure 6.3.

irb(main):015:0>	puts	"Hello	you";
irb(main):016:0*	puts	"Hello	me";
irb(main):017:0*	puts	"Hello	everybody";
irb(main):018:0*	puts	"Hello	World"
Hello you			
Hello me			
Hello everybody			
Hello World			
=> nil			
irb(main):019:0>			

Figure 6.3: Code in a REPL

It might just be easier to stuff the whole thing into a text file. That way, if you fat-finger a couple of lines, you won't have to laboriously retrieve and edit each line at a time. You can hack the file and be done with it.

Figure 6.4 shows a snippet of code I knocked out in UltraEdit (I could have used any other editor). It does what I just tediously typed out in irb (except you didn't see all the correcting going on).



Figure 6.4: The same code in an editor

Going to the command line and typing ruby and the filename will run the script:

```
bash-4.2$ ruby sayhello.rb
Hello you
Hello me
Hello everybody
Hello world
```

But, Ruby really doesn't give a rip about the .rb file extension. You can run Ruby against any file that has valid Ruby commands in it, like this:

```
bash-4.2$ ruby hello.pete
Hello you
Hello me
Hello everybody
Hello world!
```

The convention is to use .rb at the end because, in most cases, you have associated the .rb extension with the Ruby binary (in Windows) and a shebang (#!) directive to point to the correct executable (in PASE), and that combination will "know" how to run the script:

```
#!/usr/bin/env ruby
puts "Hello you"
puts "Hello me"
puts "Hello everybody"
puts "Hello world"
```

But really, as long as you point Ruby to a file with valid syntax, no problemo! Ruby will parse and execute the script.

What if your syntax *is* problematic? Then what? Let's make a very subtle (and common) mistake and see what happens. First, the file contents:

```
puts "Hello you"
puts "Hello me"
Puts "Hello everybody"
puts "Hello world"
```

Then run it:

```
bash-4.2$ ruby sayhello.rb
Hello you
Hello me
sayhello.rb:3:in '<main>': undefined method 'Puts' for main:Object (No-
MethodError)
```

What the heck? Undefined method 'Puts'? We have been "putting" all day. What's up? Well, Ruby is case-sensitive. Welcome to *that* world! A host of languages are sensitive to case, and Ruby is one of them. In this case, our script happily executed each line until it got to line three, which had 'Puts' instead of 'puts', and Ruby barfed. Some text editors that have syntax checking can catch this kind of thing (which is a good thing). Distinguishing between 'Puts' and 'puts' is pretty easy, but most text editors, even with highlighting and syntax checking, won't always give you the correct feedback all the time.

Getting tired of that Windows command window? Well, on the IBM i, we can do something pretty similar. You *could* start by going to the 5250 command line and starting QSH or QSHELL, but STRQSH, QSH, and QSHELL start a PASE (UNIX)-like environment shell that really wasn't designed to run AIX programs (so don't bother). You could also run QP2TERM, which is a shell that *is* a more AIX (PASE)-friendly environment. Figures 6.5 and 6.6 offer a quick look at some of the results of using the two shells and invoking Ruby.



Figure 6.5: QSHELL invoking Ruby

Yikes! Probably not a good idea to continue.



Figure 6.6: QP2TERM invoking Ruby

That's more like it!

If we copy a Ruby script file over to the IFS and run it, we get the same results as we did in Windows, as shown in Figure 6.7.



Figure 6.7: Running Ruby from the IFS

An easier way, IMHO, is to use a TTY terminal emulator like PuTTY and use Secure Shell (SSH) to connect to your IBM i. You''ll have all of the functionality of QP2TERM without the overhead of also running a 5250 emulator (although TTY is basically a terminal emulator).

I use PuTTY for my access to PASE, but there are plenty of free alternatives. Figure 6.8 shows what the script in Figure 6.7 looks like in PuTTY (and BASH).

🚱 10.0.10.200 - PuTTY
login as: pete
pete@10.0.10.200's password:
\$ bash
bash-4.2\$ ruby hello.pete
Hello you
Hello me
Hello everybody
Hello world!
bash-4.2\$

Figure 6.8: Using PuTTy and BASH

Boringly similar to all the other scripts and REPL examples we have looked at, isn't it?

So that's a very basic first step toward using a REPL like irb and executing scripts at the command line. So far, it's pretty easy.

Next, we need to take a look at a few more programming constructs before stepping back to examine classes and modules.

Most of the Ruby language constructs follow a predictable pattern that we, as programmers, are familiar with. It is the implementation of those constructs that can make the "getting started" step a bumpy ride. So let's get on the horse and ride!

Language Basics

I don't plan to spend a lot of time in the minutiae of the Ruby language. The goal of this book is to give you enough to go on to get started. Proficiency won't be the end result. And although Ruby is based on POLA, there is enough "surprise!" in the way things work that it is worthwhile to go over some of the basic things and at least point out where I got either derailed or stumped. Sometimes, Grasshopper, all you need is a little enlightenment!

Variables

Unlike RPG and more like JavaScript (if you are familiar with it), Ruby is a dynamically typed language. That is, you don't have to declare a type when you declare a variable.

You could assign a variable this way:

```
the_meaning_of_life = 42
```

If you do, Ruby will see the variable as a number (Fixnum class, actually). Later, you could assign the same variable to a different type:

the_meaning_of_life = 'Money, fame and fortune'

Ruby won't even blink. If you happened to capitalize the first character of the variable, Ruby will define it as a constant. And, although you *can* change the value of a constant, Ruby *will* complain about it with a warning about the change.

```
bash-4.2$ irb
irb(main):001:0> the_meaning_of_life = 42
```

Continued

```
=> 42
irb(main):002:0> the_meaning_of_life = 'Money, fame and fortune'
=> "Money, fame and fortune"
## Note no complaint about the change.....
irb(main):003:0> The_meaning_of_life = 42
=> 42
irb(main):004:0> The_meaning_of_life = 'Money, fame and fortune'
(irb):4: warning: already initialized constant The_meaning_of_life
(irb):3: warning: previous definition of The_meaning_of_life was here
=> "Money, fame and fortune"
```

Scope

We also have variables that can be of local, global, class, or instance scope.

Local scope is pretty much what you would expect, and global variables are pretty much the same in Ruby as in other languages. Each variable will have a scope that it is declared in based on its location in the code.

You probably have not come across class and instance variables either because, well, RPG isn't object-oriented, so the concepts of classes and instances of classes are not part of your nomenclature in RPG. From chapter 5, you should have a rough idea of what a class and an instance of a class would be. Very quickly, in most cases a class represents a template or a "mold" of what an object should look like from the standpoint of the design of variables and function. It's a prototype of what the object would look like if you created one. So a class variable would be a variable that would hold a value across instances of that class. This could come in handy if you are creating many instances of a class and want an aggregate count or total across all instances of that class. An instance variable would be, ahem, a variable that is unique to only that instance of the class. No mystery. But the declaration of each of the variables has some convention to it:

- Local variables: start with a lowercase letter or an underscore "_"
- Global variables: start with a \$ sign
- Class variables: start with a double "at" sign: @@
- Instance variables: start with a single "at" sign: @

The best way to demonstrate how each of these works is to demonstrate them (!).

```
### Start with a global that will persist for the session
aGlobalVariable = 5
## Then create a class to demonstrate class and instance differences
class Demo
## class Variable
@@classVariable = 0
       ## We need to initialize the variable or else it will be nil when
       ## we add it to itself in the add_me method. Initialization will
       ## happen when we invoke "New" on the class to create the
       ## instance
       def initialize()
             @instanceVariable = 0
       end
       ## a simple function to output these variables
       def add_me()
             @@classVariable += 1 # increment the class variable
             @instanceVariable += 1 # increment the instance variable
             output_class_var()
             puts "Global total is now #$aGlobalVariable"
             puts "My instance variable is now #@instanceVariable"
             puts " "
       end
       def add_me_twice()
             ## Run this guy to see what happens to a global variable
             $aGlobalVariable+=1
             add me
       end
                                                              Continued
```